



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

C, W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/875,542	06/06/2001	Ruk Peterson	LEH-33	6908
7:	590 03/04/2003			
Milton Wolson, Esq. Malina & Wolson 60 East 42nd Street			EXAMINER	
			PATTERSON, MARIE D	
New York, NY	10165		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 03/04/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				W.K
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/875,542	PETERSON ET AL	- -
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Marie Patterson	3728	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover s	neet with the correspondence add	iress
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howeve y within the statutory minim vill apply and will expire SI) , cause the application to b	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29.	January 2003 .		
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fina	ıl.	
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims			e merits is
4) 🖾	Claim(s) $\underline{4-6}$ is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from considerat	on.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirem	ent.	
Applicati	ion Papers			
9) 🔲	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	pted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.	
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) 📙	The proposed drawing correction filed on			∍r .
(a) []	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•	n.	
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.		
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document			
* 5	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17	.2(a)).	Stage
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·		application).
а) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application	has been received.	
Attachmen	•	-		
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 1	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(lotice of Informal Patent Application (PTo ther:	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no basis in the original specification for the phrase "wherein the only portion of said metatarsal guard which transmits the load to the sole is the single concave right support leg and the single concave left support leg". The specification clearly recites that "load from an object fallin on metatarsal guard 10 will be transmitted, in part, to toe cap 8" (pages 4-5). And since the toe cap clearly rests on the sole, it appears that the front lip of the guard transmits a portion of the load to the sole through the toe cap.
- 2. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 3 the phrase "wherein the only portion of said metatarsal guard which transmits the load to the sole is the single concave right support leg and the single concave left support leg" is confusing, vague, and indefinite because it is not clear what structural limitations applicant intends to encompass with such language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/875,542

Art Unit: 3728

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fortin (5457898).

Fortin shows a shoe comprising a sole (12), a toe cap (15), an upper (14), and a metatarsal guard (11) with a convex arch/instep portion (shown in figure 1), and a single right and complementary left leg (side portions which lead to edge 13) as claimed. In reference to the limitation of "only a single", the fact that the single legs shown in Fortin include a slit does not increase the number of legs, and/or the portion forward of the slit can be considered to be a front flange/or part of the lip which overlies the toe cap. In reference to the phrase "the only portion of the metatarsal guard which transmits the load to the sole is the single.... leg", the legs (either the entire sides or only the rear portion of the sides) transmit the load and the front lip (at 16 and/or 16 and the forward portions of the sides) transmit the load to the sole through the toe cap (as applicant has disclosed such, specifically on the last line of page 4 through line 1 of page 5) and therefore meets the functional recitation in the claims inasmuch as this limitations is understood.

3. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Krajcir (4908963).

Krajcir shows a shoe with a toe cap (8), sole (5-7), and metatarsal guard (figure 2b) which has a single concave right support leg (formed by 10, 11, and 14), and a single left support leg (formed by 10, 11, and 14), a lip (front of element 10) which overlies the

Art Unit: 3728

toe cap (see figure 1), and the bottom of the legs contacting the top of the sole (shown in figure 1 as claimed).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fortin.

If applicant argues that the legs of Fortin are not considered to be "only a single..." because of the flexibility slit (19) formed in the legs, it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184. It would have been obvious to remove the slit (19) from the shoe of Fortin to provide a stronger and stiffer shoe.

1. Telephone inquiries regarding the status of application or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, "should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the Examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiners", M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148 or the Tech Center 3700 Customer Service Center number is (703) 306-5648. For applicant's convenience, the Group Technological Center FAX number is (703) 872-9302. (Note that the Examiner cannot confirm receipt of faxes) Please identify Examiner of Art Unit _____ at the top of your cover sheet of any correspondence submitted.

inquiries only concerning the **merits** of the examination should be directd to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0069.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/875,542

Art Unit: 3728

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g. copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, for PTO-892, etc. requests for copies of such papers should be directed to (703) 308-1337.

Check out our web-site at "www.uspto.gov" for fees and other useful information.

Marie Patterson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3728